

# Students Overall Evaluation of Programme and Teaching 2020-21

Central Sanskrit University K. J. Somaiya Campus, Mumbai. Vidyavihar ( E )- 400077.

Questionnaire-3

Your responses will be seen only after your course results have been finalised and recorded.

The information will be used only for the improvement of the course and teaching in the future.

You need not disclose your name if you do not wish to.

Chose any one given options.

Name \*

Baijayanti Sahoo

Department \*

Shiksha Shastri

Year \*

Option 1

Mobile No \*

9938677379

Address \*

At- Devoga Po- Chatra Dist- Jagatsinghpur pin- 754294

The Syllabus was \*

- Challenging
- Dull
- Adequate
- Inadequate

Your background for benefiting from the course was \*

- More than adequate
- Just adequate
- Inadequate
- cannot say

Conceptually understand of the course was \*

- Easy
- Manageable
- Difficult
- Very difficult

How much of the syllabus was covered in class \*

- 85 to 100%
- 70 to 85%
- 55 to 70%
- Less than 55%

What is your opinion about the library material for the course ? \*

- Excellent
- Adequate
- Inadequate
- Very poor

Where you able to get the prescribed reading ? \*

- Easily
- With some difficulty
- With a great difficulty
- Not at all

How well did the teacher prepare for class ? \*

- Thoroughly
- Satisfactorily
- Poorly
- Not at all

How well did the teacher able to communicate ? \*

- Effectively
- Invariably
- Satisfactorily
- Badly

Did the teacher encourage student participation in class ? \*

- Always
- So often
- Sometimes
- Rarely

If the teacher encourage student participation in class, which of the following methods were used ? \*

- Encourage questions
- Discussion in class
- Discussion outside class
- Discussion individually

How help was the teacher in advising ? \*

- Always helpful
- often helpful
- Sometimes helpful
- Unhelpful

Was the teacher \*

- Courteous
- Stricts
- Indifferent
- Rude

Did the internal assessment work ? \*

- Fairly
- Regularly
- Helpfully
- Cannot say

What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade ? \*

- Improve it
- Lower it
- No effect
- Cannot Say

How did the teacher provide feedback on your performance ? \*

- Regularly
- Irregularly
- With helpful comments
- Without comments

Where your assignments discussed with you ? \*

- Yes, fully
- Yes, partly
- Sometimes
- No

Was there any opportunity for personal interaction with teacher ? \*

- Yes
- To some extent
- No
- Can't say

Were you provided with a course and lecture outline at the beginning ? \*

- Yes
- To some extent
- No
- Can't say

Was it helpful ? \*

- Yes
- To some extent
- No
- Can't say

Was it followed ? \*

- Yes
- To some extent
- No
- Can't say



Was there any opportunity for small group work ? \*

- Yes
- To some extent
- No
- Can't say

Were outsider experts invited to address you ? \*

- Yes
- Frequently
- Rarely
- None

Did you visit industries, laboratories, banks, institutions or any other University ? \*

- Yes, frequently
- Sometimes
- Rarely
- None

Any other comments \*

Very good

---

This form was created inside of k.j. somaiya campus.

Google Forms

# Student Feedback Form for the Academic Year 2020-21

Central Sanskrit University  
K. J. Somaiya Campus  
Vidyavihar, Mumbai-77

Please give a rating of your course on the following:-

Learning value (in terms of skills, concepts, knowledge, analytical abilities or broadening perspectives) \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Moderate
- Poor
- Very Poor

Applicability/relevance to real life situations \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Moderate
- Poor
- Very Poor

## Depth of the course content \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Moderate
- Poor
- Very Poor

## Extent of coverage of course \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Moderate
- Poor
- Very Poor

## Extent of effort required by students \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Moderate
- Poor
- Very Poor

Relevance/learning value of project/report \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Moderate
- Poor
- Very Poor

Overall rating \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Moderate
- Poor
- Very Poor

The Syllabus was \*

- Challenging
- adequate
- Inadequate
- Dull
- Irrelevant

Your background for benefiting from the course was \*

- Highly Relevant
- Relevant
- Partly Relevant
- Mostly Irrelevant
- Completely Irrelevant

How much of the syllabus was taught in class? \*

- 90 to 100%
- 75 to 90%
- 50 to 75%
- 40 to 50%
- Less than 40%

What is your opinion about the library holdings for the course? \*

- Excellent
- Adequate
- Inadequate
- Poor
- Very Poor

Were you able to get the prescribed readings? \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor
- Very Poor

The internal evaluation system as it exists is \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor
- Very Poor

In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for? \*

- 100%
- 75%
- 50%
- 25%
- Below 25%

How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the Campus as a whole? \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory
- Very Poor



How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your Campus? \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory
- Very Poor

13. How do you find the Campus administrative office? \*

- Very helpful
- Helpful
- Indifferent
- Unhelpful
- Cumbersome

Did you participate in any of the extra-curricular activities? \*

- Very often
- Often
- Sometime
- Rarely
- Never

What was the attitude of teachers to extra-curricular activities? \*

- Co-operative
- Indifferent
- Discouraging

Has your time at the Campus been intellectually enriching? \*

- Yes
- Indifferently
- No

After leaving the Campus how will you talk about it? \*

- Proudly
- Marginally
- Disparagingly

Is the internal assessment system conducive to [chose one the relevant cell] \*

	Highly	Appreciably	Moderately	Poorly	Not at all
Understanding the course	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Early discovery of difficulties	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interaction with the teacher	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Regular work	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Continuous self-assessment	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Overall Rating of the Programme [Chose one the relevant cell] \*

	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
Academic content	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Fairness of evaluation	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interaction with faculty	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Interaction with administration	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Library facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Extra-curricular activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Hostel facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Computer facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sports facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Recreational facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

This form was created inside of k.j. somaiya campus.

Google Forms

# Questionnaires for Feedback from Students 2020-21

Central Sanskrit University  
K. J. Somaiya Campus  
Vidyavihar {E}  
Mumbai – 400077

Questionnaire – 1

required to rate the course on the following attributes using the 4 points scale shown. The format given is for one course. Do the same for other courses on separate page. Chose any one given options.

Name \*

Raghav kumar mishra

Department \*

Shiksha shastri

Year \*

1 st year

Mobile No

9525863195

Address \*

Vi+PST+PS; GHOGHARDIHA MADHUBANI

Depth of the course content including project work if any

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Extent of coverage of course \*

- Very good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Applicability / relevance to real life situations \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Learning value {in terms of knowledge. Concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives} \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Clarity and relevance of textual reading material \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Relevance of additional source material {Library} \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Extent of effort required by students \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Overall rating \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

This form was created inside of k.j. somaiya campus.

Google Forms



# Student Feedback on Teachers -2020-21

Central Sanskrit University  
K. J. Somaiya Campus  
Vidyavihar {E}  
Mumbai - 400077

Questionnaire - 2

Students are required to rate the course on the following attributes using the 4 points scale shown.

Name \*

Banasmita panda

Department \*

Shiksha shastri

Year \*

1st year

Address \*

At/po - gadadeulia, dist-mayurbhanj, pin-757082

Knowledge base of the teacher [as perceived by you] \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Communication Skills [in terms of articulation and comprehensibility] \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Sincerity / Commitment of the teacher \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Interest generated by the teacher \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Ability to integrate course material with environment / other issues, to provide a broader perspective. \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Ability to integrate content with other courses \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Accessibility of the teacher in and out of the class [includes availability of the teacher to motivate further study and discussion outside class] \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Ability to design quizzes / tests / assignments / examinations and projects to evaluate students understanding of the course \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Provision of sufficient time for feedback \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

Overall rating \*

- Very Good
- Good
- Satisfactory
- Unsatisfactory

This form was created inside of k.j. somaiya campus.

Google Forms

# Teachers Feedback on Curriculum

Rate the Particulars by marking an appropriate answer.

Name of the Teacher \*

Prof. Leena Sakkarwal

Email ID \*

leena.jaavi@gmail.com

Academic Year Worked in the Campus \*

- 2015-16
- 2016-17
- 2017-18
- 2018-19
- 2019-20
- 2020-21

The learning objectives are clear and appropriate to the program \*

- High
- Medium
- Low

The curriculum and syllabus are well organized and suitable to the program. \*

- High
- Medium
- Low

The Text books / Reference books are well suitable to the course. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

The system followed by the University for the design and development of curriculum is effective. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

Provision to update curriculum time to time. \*

- High
- Medium
- Low

How do you rate the distribution of contact hours among the course components.

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor



The balance between theory and practical is good. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Strongly Disagree

How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the technological advancements. \*

- High
- Medium
- Low

Suggestions for further Improvement. \*

When teaching staff is facilitate and updated with today's Techno era and proper training then only it become better.

---

This form was created inside of k.j. somaiya campus.

Google Forms

# Feedback/ Suggestions from parents 2020-21

Rate the Particulars by marking an appropriate answer.

Full Name of parents \*

Manoj Kumar Mahanta

Name of Student

Jayaprakash Mahanta

Address \*

At/Po-khendara,Dist-Keonjhar,pin-758031,Odisha

Campus Name (Where your children has been taken admission) \*

K.j.Somaiya Campus,Mumbai, Maharashtra

Dept.& Semester of student \*

B.ed

## Curricular \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

## Infrastructure \*

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

## Fee Structure \*

- Excellent
- Very good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

## Teacher-Student relation \*

- Excellent
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Bad

## Non-Teaching/Staff-Student relation \*

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

## Extra-curricular activity

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

## Financial aid (fee freship etc.)

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

## Syllabus of the University

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

## Overall performance of the University

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Bad

Feedback/Suggestion if any : \*

Good

---

This form was created inside of k.j. somaiya campus.

Google Forms

# Alumni Feedback on Curriculum

Rate the Particulars by marking an appropriate answer.

Name of the Student \*

JAY PRAKASH TRIPATHI

Academic Year of the Study \*

2015-16

2016-17

2017-18

2018-19

2019-20

Course / Class Studied in the Campus \*

SHIKSHASHASTHRI

Roll No. \*

19103

Contact Mobile No. \*

07905931265

Email ID \*

Tripathijayprakash62@gmail.com

Address of the Alumni \*

JAVAHAR NAGAR MANIKPUR CHITRAKOOT 210208

Curriculum meets prerequisite and basic knowledge required for the career. \*

- High
- Moderate
- Poor

Usefulness of learning experience in career. \*

- High
- Medium
- Low



Electives offered in relation to the technological advancement. \*

- High
- Medium
- Low

The new courses (Subjects) Introduced meet contemporary (Existing) requirement. \*

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree

Design of the courses encourages / motivates extra learning or self learning. \*

- High
- Medium
- Poor

Suggestions for further Improvement \*

No

---

This form was created inside of k.j. somaiya campus.

# Google Forms